






## Content

### Participants

-  [McGuire, Matthew](#) (Meeting Organizer)
-  [Chris Mavis](#)
-  [Dennis Kepler](#) (Accepted in Outlook)
-  [Jennifer Corcoran](#) (Accepted in Outlook)
-  [Joe Sapletal](#) (Accepted in Outlook)
-  [Justin Roberts \(DOT\)](#) (Accepted in Outlook)
-  [Mike Dolbow](#) (Accepted in Outlook)
-  [Ryan Mattke](#)
-  [Steve Kloiber](#) (Accepted in Outlook)

## Notes

### Overview and resource review

We reviewed and approved the agenda. Everyone indicated that they were familiar with the resources provided, including the plan, the charter and the workplan.

### Review low usage layers

After some background, agenda review and resource document review, we looked at the list of candidate layers.

### Layer status change recommendation

We identified 12 layers to change to Retirement Candidate Status.

Of the 14 lowest usage layers, we did not change the status of two:

- "Composite Leaf-off Aerial Imagery" was removed from consideration. This is a special test case composed of other layers. It will disappear on its own when the test is done.
- "2018 cir Itasca 1ft" is a new layer. It has not had a full year of usage, therefore should not be considered a low usage layer.

### Proposed layer additions

This is our first meeting. We don't have a proposed list of additions. For our next year's meeting will solicit our County contacts. Mike will check with Nancy about this, but it is believed a December solicitations would work well timing-wise.

For this year, we will compile a list within our group. The list will be sent by email to the whole group for voting on a new layer. Chris will check internally about whether to provide Hennepin. Joe will review minutes from data producers workgroup to get list of potential new layers.

### Review [Composite imagery layer status](#) and propose changes

We will try to create a blanket statement for adding a set of new layers to the Composite service. For example.

"If is newer than 2015 (four years) and better than 1 meter resolution, and not superseded by better imagery, add it to the sub 10,000 scale."

Joe will draft a better statement. This statement will then go to MnGeo.

MnGeo will respond with a list. We can approve or disapprove item by item.

### Format for recommendation

## Format for recommendation

We will use the table here -

[https://www.mngeo.state.mn.us/chouse/wms/wms\\_image\\_server\\_layers.html](https://www.mngeo.state.mn.us/chouse/wms/wms_image_server_layers.html) - as a starting place to identify layers. Matt will add our status changes to this list. [Note: I pulled the table on this web page into an Excel document, separated out the cir and natural color layers into their own items and added a status field.]

## Next steps

We will meet end of January 2020.

Remember to check on retirement candidate usage in January.

## Chat notes:

We saved this conversation. You'll see it soon in the Conversations tab in Skype for Business and in the Conversation History folder in Outlook.

[5/30/2019 10:31 AM] Kloiber, Steve (MNIT):

[https://arcgis.dnr.state.mn.us/public/rest/services/gen/spring\\_imagery\\_statewide\\_cir/MapServer?f=jsapi](https://arcgis.dnr.state.mn.us/public/rest/services/gen/spring_imagery_statewide_cir/MapServer?f=jsapi)